,
WLPE

Complaint: Employment Discrimination (Rev. 6/2000)

Case 1:14-cv-00676-WMN Document 5 Filed 06/06/14 Page 1 of 5

	Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 701, et seq., for employment discrimination on the basis of a disability by an employer which constitutes a program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.			
	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, et seq., for employment discrimination on the basis of disability.			
4	l am complaining about (check all that apply):			
	Failure to hire me. I was refused a job on the following date:			
✓	Termination of my employment. I was terminated from my employment on the following date: December 6th. 2011			
	Failure to promote me. I was refused a promotion on the following date:			
✓	Other (explain what happened): Violation of public trust a			
	Engagement in protected activity including violation of Sarbanes-Oxley			
	Otherwise discrimination and as set forth in the statement of fact section			
5. all that ap	The conduct of Defendant(s) was discriminatory because it was based on (check ply):			
✓	race 🗸 color 🗸 religion 🗸 sex 🗌 age 🗸 national origin 🔲 disability			
6.	The facts of my claim are: are as set forth in the factual complaint attached.			
·				
	1			

Back pay.

Reinstatement to my former position.

1

Į.	Monetary damages in the amount of: as set forth in the relief section Costs and attorneys fees.		
V			
V	Other (specify): as set fort	in the prayer for relief section	
Narch 7	Th, 2014.	Mohlina	
Date)	·	(Signature)	
		TAFADZWA NHIRA	
		PERRY HALL MD,	
		PERRY HALL MD,	
		21128, 443-653-1541.	
		(Printed name, address and phone number of Plaintiff)	

Honorable Judge,

I am amending the complaint by adding the following parties to the lawsuit.

Michael Amos

He did not count all the product that was in the account and he knew it was illegal and his inventory counts were false. His food inventory in exhibit 3 is false and he knew it. His statement in front of Burge and Wilkins on December 5th 2011 that we should reach a compromise by just writing \$23,000.00 of food inventory is further evidence of his knowledge of the falsity of the inventories he had turned in. I had specifically asked him of numerous products he left out and he knew it was a conspiracy to hurt me and I was hurt. I want him under oath to answer questions pertaining to his inventory he falsified which is illegal. He acted in cahoots with Burge and Mitchell to hurt me although he knew what they were doing, that is falsifying inventory, is against the law. Through their conspiracy of falsification of inventory, I was hurt.

Alessandria McGuire

She also acted in cahoots with Burge and Mitchell because after I asked her why they did not count all inventory she stated to me that there was too much product everywhere. The inventory they turned in was false and all product should have been counted to make sure the inventory was not misleading. She knew what they did was illegal and it was done to get rid of me. I want her under oath to answer questions pertaining to falsified inventory turned in. I was hurt by their conspiracy in fartherance of their real motive and I am seeking justice.

I sincerely appreciate your consideration of these additions.

Respectfully and humbly submitted,

Tafadzwa Nhira (Pro Se litigant)

U.S. DISTRICT COURT